## Pupil premium strategy statement 2017 - 2018 Name of academy: St Minver

| 1. Summary information |           |                                  |        |  |          |  |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------|--|----------|--|
| School                 | St Minver |                                  |        |  |          |  |
| Academic Year          | 2017      | Total PP budget                  | £19200 | Date of most recent PP Review                  | 25/10/17 |  |
| Total number of pupils | 209       | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 15     | Date for next internal review of this strategy | 5/1/18   |  |

| 2. Cu                                     | irrent attainment for all year groups combined                               | 1  | 1                          |  |  |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
|   |  | Pupils eligible for PP                           | Pupils not eligible for PP |  |  |
| % achieving in reading, writing and maths |  | 56%  | 66%                        |  |  |
| % of PP                                   | pupils making progress in reading  | 56%  | 76%                        |  |  |
| % of PP                                   | f PP pupils making progress in writing 79%                                   |  |                            |  |  |
| % of PP                                   | pupils making progress in maths  | 56%  | 79%                        |  |  |
| 3. Ba                                     | rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)                     | 1  |                            |  |  |
| In-sch                                    | ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language   | e skills)  |                            |  |  |
| Α.  | Regular reading at home.   |  |                            |  |  |
| В.  | Struggling to focus in whole class situations.                               |  |                            |  |  |
| C.  | Pupils falling behind during the summer break.                               |  |                            |  |  |
| E>  | ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as lo | ow attendance rates)                             |                            |  |  |
| D.  |  |  |                            |  |  |
| 4. C                                      | besired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)            | Success criteria                                 |                            |  |  |
| Α.  | Continue to narrow the gap between PP pupils and non-disadvantaged.          | PP pupils to be more in line with ARE.           |                            |  |  |
| В.  | Increase confidence of PP pupils.  | PP pupils to more regularly contribute in class. |                            |  |  |
| С.  | Increase progress of PP pupils.  | Expected progress points to be made or exceeded. |                            |  |  |
| D.  |  |  |                            |  |  |

| Academic year                                  | 2017-18   |   |   |                           |                                      |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| The three headings                             | below enable schoo<br>d support whole sch           | ls to demonstrate how they are u<br>ool strategies  | sing the Pupil Premium to impr  | ove classroon             | n pedagogy, provide                  |
| i. Quality of teac                             | hing for all  |   |   |                           |                                      |
| Desired outcome                                | Chosen action / approach                            | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?                                       | Staff lead                | When will you review implementation? |
| Embed Maths Mastery approach                   | White Rose, Maths<br>No Problem                     | Dip in numeracy results and Ofsted identified action point  | Monitoring, observation, book scrutiny  | L. Cudmore &<br>S. Lane   | Half-termly                          |
| Keeping up with pace of learning in class.     | Quality first teaching                              | Teaching and Learning Toolkit<br>(Education Endowment Foundation)<br>support and immediate feedback<br>within class setting | Monitoring, observation, book<br>scrutiny   | P Howard and R<br>Gregson | Half termly                          |
| Improve spelling and phonics across the school | No Nonsense<br>Spelling                             | Ofsted identified action point  | Monitoring, observation, book scrutiny  | L. Cray &<br>R. Gregson   | Half-termly                          |
| Achieve ARE for all PP<br>children             | Maintain high staffing<br>levels in all year groups | Teaching and Learning Toolkit<br>(Education Endowment Foundation)<br>support and immediate feedback<br>within class setting | Monitoring, observation, book<br>scrutiny   | P Howard and R<br>Gregson | Half termly                          |
|  | L   | -   | Тс  | otal budgeted cost        | £10214.40                            |
| ii. Targeted supp                              | ort   |   |   |                           |                                      |
| Desired outcome                                | Chosen action /<br>approach                         | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?                                       | Staff lead                | When will you review implementation? |
| ARE+ for targeted group                        | Small group tuition                                 | Teaching and Learning Toolkit<br>(Education Endowment Foundation)   | Monitor through half-termly progress<br>reviews & regular scrutiny of PP logbooks | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half-termly                          |
| Measurable progress                            | 1:1   | Ofsted expectation  | Monitor through half-termly progress<br>reviews & regular scrutiny of PP logbooks | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half-termly                          |

| Measurable progress<br>& ARE+  | PP homework club  | Teaching and Learning Toolkit<br>(Education Endowment Foundation) | Monitor through half-termly progress reviews                                      | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half-termly                          |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Measurable progress<br>& ARE+  | Peer mentoring  | Teaching and Learning Toolkit<br>(Education Endowment Foundation) | Monitor through half-termly progress reviews                                      | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half-termly                          |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen action / approach  | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?               | How will you ensure it is implemented well?                                       | Staff lead                | When will you review implementation? |
| iii. Other approac   | hes   |   | Total bu  | dgeted cost               | £8450.20                             |
| PP child with medical<br>needs makes<br>accelerated progress                               | 1:1 TA support  | PP child significantly below ARE requires accelerated progress    | Monitor through half-termly progress<br>reviews & regular scrutiny of PP logbooks | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half termly                          |
| PP achieve ARE in<br>RWM   | Additional teacher/TA<br>support for targeted<br>group in Y2, and 6 | Narrowing of gap and accelerated progress in previous year        | Monitor through half-termly progress<br>reviews & regular scrutiny of PP logbooks | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half termly                          |
| Pupils falling behind<br>during the summer break<br>+ Extra tuition throughout<br>the year | Private tutoring (Trevose<br>Tutors)                                | Notable improvement in previous<br>academic year                  | Monitor through half-termly progress<br>reviews & regular scrutiny of PP logbooks | P. Howard &<br>R. Gregson | Half termly                          |

| 6. Review of expe      | enditure                       |   |   |      |  |  |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|------|--|--|
| Previous Academic Year |                                | 2016-17   |   |      |  |  |
| i. Quality of teac     | hing for all                   | -   |   |      |  |  |
| Desired outcome        | Chosen<br>action /<br>approach | <b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned<br>(and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |  |  |

| Keeping up with pace of learning in class.   | Quality first<br>teaching/additional<br>TA support within<br>each class setting | Teacher assessment indicates that 56% of PP<br>throughout the school achieved ARE (+) in RWM (Non<br>PP 66% achieved)  | Focus on closer monitoring (half termly progress reviews for<br>all pupils) Budget to continue class support           | £15,000  |
|--|---|--|--|----------|
| Embed Maths Mastery throughout the school  | Maths Mastery<br>resources & White<br>Rose                                      | 75% of PP pupils in Y6 achieved ARE in numeracy (Non-disadvantaged: 79%)   | Continue to focus on progress, which was expected for the majority of PP pupils (6 progress points in a year for RWM). | £821.76  |
| Spelling for PP pupils to be in line with ARE  | Spelling, phonics,<br>No Nonsense   | 75% of PP pupils in Y6 achieved ARE in numeracy (Non-disadvantaged: 84%)   | Continue to focus on progress, which was expected for the majority of PP pupils (6 progress points in a year for RWM). | £225.00  |
| ii. Targeted supp  | ort   |  |  |          |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen<br>action /<br>approach  | <b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.  | Lessons learned<br>(and whether you will continue with this approach)  | Cost     |
| Pupils falling behind<br>during the summer break<br>+ Extra tuition throughout<br>the year     | Private tutoring<br>(Trevose Tutors)  | Yes – pupils broadly in line with peers.   | Continue for LAC (PPP). Progress generally excellent.  | £1762.50 |
| Improving focus in whole-class<br>situations & achieving ARE in<br>maths in the class attended | 1:1 and support in<br>a different class   | Yes - achieved ARE in maths in the class attended.   | Extra support was necessary and beneficial. Trial change of maths class to lesson gap.                                 | £1386.30 |
| PP achieve ARE in<br>RWM   | Additional<br>teacher for<br>targeted group in<br>Y2, 5 and 6                   | Yes - Deputy Head supported Y5/6 PP children in Literacy<br>and Numeracy Y6 PP Reading 50% EXS + (ave SS 104),<br>Numeracy 75% EXS + (ave ss 104), Writing 75% EXS + | Continue for targeted PP groups in upper KS 2 and Year 2   | £5075.00 |
| PP achieve ARE in<br>RWM   | 1:1 teacher<br>support and TA for<br>identified PP<br>children                  | Yes – 1:1 teaching for LAC children who achieved ARE in RWM<br>Yes – 1:1 teaching for EYFS and Y 5,4,2 and 1 children – many<br>achieved ARE+ in RWM                 | Continue – those who failed to achieve ARE targeted for accelerated progress reviewed more regularly                   | £2490.54 |
| PP child with medical<br>needs makes<br>accelerated progress                                   | 1:1 TA/HLTA<br>support  | Limited success as limited attendance  | Continue – attendance improving and interventions making clear impact.   | £792.00  |

| Desired outcome  | Chosen<br>action /<br>approach   | <b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned<br>(and whether you will continue with this approach)           | Cost      |
|--|--|---|---|-----------|
| Narrow the gap between PP pupils and non-disadvantaged       | PP pupils below GDS<br>to be Priority<br>Readers. Improve<br>communication with<br>parents | Reading progress scores were positive (6+ in the majority of cases)   | Continue with priority readers. Continue to improve communication with parents. | Free      |
| PP champion to be up to date<br>on current guidance & trends | PP champion to<br>attend training and<br>network meetings                                  | Yes – impact on tracking quality and use of expenditure   | Continue with training, updates and network meetings.                           | £600.00   |
| Increased confidence   | Thrive   | Yes – notable improvement in confidence and resilience  | Continue for targeted group   | 583.68    |
|  |  |   | Total Budget  | £23742.23 |

## 7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.

See St Minver School Pupil Premium policy, strategy and action plan online.

Mid-year review of impact of PP funding: